# Exhibit 4

1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.
6	Plaintiff,
7	vs. No. 3:10-cv-03561-WHA
8	GOOGLE, INC.,
9	Defendant.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF OWEN ASTRACHAN, Ph.D.
15	San Francisco, California
16	Monday, March 14, 2016
17	Volume I
18	
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY:
22	REBECCA L. ROMANO, RPR, CSR No. 12546
23	JOB NO. 2241710
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 184
	Page 1

## Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 1614-7 Filed 04/07/16 Page 3 of 7

- In my understanding of Moore's Law, he has not addressed memory. When I say "he," the -the --

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4 5

8

1.0

11

12 13

14

16

18

19

2.0

21

22

24

- -- the law name for him is not something that's really about memory per se.
- All right. Is there any rule, comparable rule that, in your view, describes how memory can be condensed onto smaller -- and greater amounts onto smaller sizes over time?
- I'm confident that there are rules in trends that people have done. I am not aware of a name ascribed to those at this point.
- All right. In your observation over time, as someone who is an expert in the processing capabilities of computers, have you observed that, over time, memory has become available in greater quantities and smaller sizes?
  - Δ I have observed that, yes, that's true.
- And that's a fairly consistent trend in your experience over the course of your career; is that true?
  - Δ Yes, that's true.
- MS. HURST: All right. Let's take that break.

Page 49

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of 1 2 Media No. 1, in the deposition of Dr. Owen Astrachan. We're going off the record at 3 11:00 o'clock a.m. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 7 record at 11:24 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Media No. 2 in the deposition of 9 Dr. Owen Astrachan. 10 (By Ms. Hurst) Are you -- well, first of all. what is ACM? 11 12 A. The Association for Computing Machinery is one of the professional organizations for 13 academic and practicing professionals in computer 14 15 science. Q. All right. And are you affiliated with 17 the ACM in any way? I am a member of the ACM. I have some 18 designation about senior education something or 19 20 21 O. And are there sometimes ACM fellows or --22

There are ACM fellows. I am not an ACM

fellow, but there are ACM fellows.

Okay. Do you have any understanding whether ACM has a code of ethics for computer

Page 50

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

scientists	and	programmers?
------------	-----	--------------

- A. That would not surprise me. I don't know what it is off the top of my head, but I imagine that would be something that exists.
- Q. All right. And would you -- strike that. Exhibit 5158 is the ACM code of ethics and professional conduct.

(Discussion off the stenographic record.) MS. HURST: All right. Exhibit 5157 is the ACM code of ethics and professional conduct. Let's try that again.

(Exhibit 5157 was marked for identification by the court reporter and is attached hereto.)

Q. (By Ms. Hurst) Dr. Astrachan, would you agree that ACM is a body that reflects the computer science industry's standards and expectations with regard to professional conduct?

MR. KAMBER: Objection to form.

THE DEPONENT: I think they would like that to be the case. Whether they actually represent professional computer science -professional software practitioners is -- is something that they are constantly trying to do so that they are more than an academic organization.

Q. (By Ms. Hurst) All right. And what do

Page 51

you mean by that?

23

24

25

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

A. The -- the folks that are academics, teaching in universities and similar places, have some practical experience but not as much as the software engineers that are contributing to a commercial or open source project, which might be

So your question was, does this reflect the professional software? And I think it's fair that the groups that make up the ACM standards are composed more of academics than of professionals. They are working to represent professional standards. But saying that they embody professional practice, I think is not quite right rather than professionals as opposed to academic standards.

- Is there a way in which the distinction that you're describing affects what -- whether you think that ACM's code of ethics and professional conduct is a fair statement of industry standards?
- A. The ACM does not make industry standards. So I would be uncomfortable saying that this represents an industry standard. I would want to look to groups that represent industry. And ACM has moved the needle -- they have tried to -- to be

## 

standards relating to the Internet.

2

3

7

9

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

2.4

2.5

- Q. All right. Have you ever heard the term "standard setting organization"?
- A. That seems a reasonable term. I am not sure that I can point to one group as being a standard setting organization. But that sounds familiar.
- Q. All right. And are you -- can you identify what criteria should be applied in determining whether something is a standard setting organization?
- A. I think that if a group is setting standards, then the -- the people, the companies, groups for which they're setting standards would be the ones that if they have adopted them, then you have a standard setting organization that might be effective.

If the IETF, for example, tried to create standards for something that wasn't about the Internet, that would not be an appropriate use of their standard setting capabilities, and I -- presumably they would not be widely adopted.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$  But what are the criteria that qualify an entity as the standard setting an organization, in your view?

Page 57

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

1 MR. KAMBER: Objection to form. 2 THE DEPONENT: I think the constituency 3 that the standards apply to if -- if the group is representative -- if the standard setting organization is representative of the different constituencies and has proven in practice that are 7 standards are adopted, then those are characteristics of an appropriate standard setting 9 organization. There's a little bit of a chicken-and-egg issue there, but I think that generally gets at it. 11 12 Q. (By Ms. Hurst) Are you aware of any formal definition of standard setting organization 13 relevant to your practice as an academic? 14 15 I am not sure of a definition of a standard setting organization. I know that the ACM 17 sets standards from my work in computer science. The American Association of Colleges and 18 Universities sets standards for my work as an 19 academic. I don't know if there's one definition 20 21 of a standard setting organization that has applied 22 to them, but I have an intuitive understanding of 23 what a standard setting organization is with respect to the work I do. 24 O. All right. And ACM is the standard 25

> Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

setting organization with respect to the work you do?

- A. ACM and IEEE work together to create some standards that apply to what I do.
- Q. All right. So ACM is, in part, a standard setting organization, in your view?
- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{That is one of their characteristics.}$  They do more than set standards.
- Q. They also have professional development, teaching, workshops, conferences, all sorts of other things, right?
  - A. That's correct. Yes, they do.
  - Q. And same is true of IEEE, right?
- $\mbox{A.} \quad \mbox{Yes.} \quad \mbox{They have similar characteristics} \\ \mbox{that way.} \quad \label{eq:theta-characteristics}$
- Q. Are you aware of any ACM standard that includes within it a requirement to use the Java SE API?
  - ${\tt A.}\quad {\tt I}$  am not aware of any such standard, no.
- Q. Are you aware of any standard adopted by the IEEE that includes within it a requirement to use the Java SE API?
- A. No, I am not aware of any such standard of the IEEE.
  - Q. And for both of those questions, your

Page 59

answers would be the same if I say "any part of the Java SE API," true?

- A. I am not aware of any standards from ACM or IEEE that require any specific use of Java.
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$  And is the same true of ITU and IETF as well?
- A. I'm not aware of those, no. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And you certainly have not identified any standard from any standard setting organization of the one -- type we have just described, a formal standard embodying a Java API in your reports in this matter; is that true?
- A. I have not identified standard setting organizations. I have talked about the use of Java in many contexts. For example, the API computer science exam which requires the use of Java.
  - Q. At your behest?
- A. It was not my behest. I was -- a member of the group that figured out how to best make use of Java, but it was not my idea that they should switch to Java.
- Q. And how much did Google pay for that to become the AP standard?
  - A. I don't think that has anything --

Page 60

Page 58

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

## Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 1614-7 Filed 04/07/16 Page 5 of 7

1

2

3

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- Q. Now, are you familiar with Dr. Schmidt's analysis using the Understand software?
- A. I read in his report where he undertook to run Understand and create visualizations for his report, yes.
- Q. Have you done anything to try to duplicate that work?

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. I ran Understand so that I would understand how it worked, and looked at the results of that. I did not try to create visualizations, but I wanted to understand what the output of the Understand platform program was, since it was used in several of the reports that I read by experts that are working for Oracle.
- Q. All right. And did you make that -- when did you do what you just described, running Understand to understand the output?
  - A. Sometime in February.
- Q. And that was after you received
  Dr. Schmidt's report, and before you made your
  second-round report?
- A. That sounds right. I would have to review my notes and logs to see precisely when that was. But I did -- I certainly did not run it before receiving the -- the reports from experts --

Page 96

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

visualizations, is kind of outside the scope of what Understand does. Understand simply provides the input into creating those visualizations. And I did opine on the visualizations in his report in my reports.

- Q. (By Ms. Hurst) Is it fair to say that, in comprehending the scope of what was possible with Understand, that you had no quarrel with the nature of the inputs that were generated by that program later used in conjunction with the visualization analysis; in other words, just the predicate, right, you don't -- you don't quarrel with the output of Understand, a/k/a the input to the visualization analysis, true?
- A. Yes, for the purposes of the visualization, the outcome -- the output of Understand, I judge as a sufficient and proper input into creating visualizations. That seems reasonable.
  - Q. Great.

Now, did you see that Dr. Schmidt used a Python script to generate his SSO visualizations?

- A. I recall having read that in his report.
- Q. Did you review that Python script?
- A. I looked through all the appendices in

Page 98

Q. All right.

A. -- and Dr. Smith in particular.

Q. And did you report on any results or offer any opinions on the basis of the work that you did in using the Understand program?

- ${\tt A.} \qquad {\tt I} \ {\tt did} \ {\tt not} \ {\tt write} \ {\tt about} \ {\tt those} \ {\tt in} \ {\tt my} \\ {\tt reports, no.}$ 
  - Q. Is there any reason why not?
- A. There was nothing that I, in talking with counsel, thought would be useful in my reports in replying that was based on running Understand.

  Running Understand was something to do to comprehend the scope of what might be possible.

  And after running it and seeing the results, it became clear to me, in discussing with counsel, that there was nothing that we needed to do to respond to, in terms of that.
- Q. Is it fair to say, then, that your -your work in using Understand to comprehend the
  scope of what might be possible revealed no major
  flaws in Dr. Schmidt's analysis?

MR. KAMBER: Objection to form.

THE DEPONENT: I ran Understand simply to see the results of what Understand produced. And Dr. Schmidt's analysis, in terms of creating

Page 97

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

all the expert reports, so I looked at it. I did not examine it in close detail, but I absolutely looked at it.

- ${\tt Q.} \quad {\tt All \ right.} \quad {\tt Do \ you \ have \ any \ experience} \\ {\tt coding \ in \ Python?} \\$
- $\hbox{A.} \qquad \hbox{I have reasonably extensive writing}$  Python programs.
- Q. Did you review the Python scripts that Dr. Schmidt relied upon for purposes determining whether they were appropriate, or had any errors or any other flaws?
- A. I -- I did not review them to see if they had flaws. I am -- trust that Dr. Schmidt is a competent programmer and would write the scripts that he thought were necessary. So I have no reason to doubt that they provide the functionality that he claims.
- Q. All right. Is the same true with respect to all the scripts that you reviewed in connection with both Dr. Schmidt and Dr. Kemerer's reports?
- A. That -- the scripts in Dr. Kemerer's report are reasonably extensive PHP scripts that I looked at, but did not look at in sufficient detail to be able to determine, do they actually do what they claim to do?

## Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 1614-7 Filed 04/07/16 Page 6 of 7

1

2

2

1.0

11

12

13

14

16

1.8

19

2.0

21

22

24

In general, I think that the writers of such scripts would take care to ensure that they do provide that functionality, but I can't -- I -- I would be uncomfortable asserting that the PHP scripts in Dr. Kemerer's report were correct in some way.

Ο. Now, as you sit here today, can you identify any flaws in those PHP scripts in Dr. Kemerer's report?

I cannot identify any such flaws, no.

And do you know either Dr. Schmidt or Dr. Kemerer outside of the context of this case?

I do not know them personally. I have read many of Dr. Schmidt's publications over time.

Ο. And so you know him by reputation?

That is correct.

1

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Q. And what is that reputation?

It's a good reputation.

And what about Dr. Kemerer, have you read Ο. papers by him or are otherwise familiar with his reputation?

I am not familiar with Dr. Kemerer's work until this case.

There were also R scripts provided in Dr. Kemerer's -- one of Dr. Kemerer's reports.

Page 100

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

3 Ο. And are you able today to identify any flaws in any of those scripts? I am not able to identify flaws in the R scripts in Dr. Kemerer's report. 7 Did you attempt to do any page-rank analysis of your own on the Android API? A. I -- I did not try to do page-rank 9 analysis of the Android API, no. Did you do any page-rank analysis of the 11 Ο. Java API? 12 13 Α. I did not do page-rank analysis of the Java API either, no. 15 Ο. Have you performed any comparison of Java SE with Java ME in order to determine any 17 degree of overlap between them? I -- I have read the APIs for Java ME and 18 19 Java SE, and I mentioned briefly in one of my reports aspects of the overlap. So I would say, 21 yes, I have analyzed those to some extent. 22 All right. Can you identify how many 23 classes and interfaces in ME and SE are the same? There -- most of the -- most of Java ME 24 is a subset of something in Java SE. 25

Did you see those?

Page 101

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

g. New, carrier we were carning about
Moore's Law, and you mentioned that it was I
think every two years you get a doubling.
And is it every two years that you get a
doubling in processing power or speed?

Now earlier we were talking about

- A. Speed, speed of processor.

Are you aware, generally, of any processor speed -- recommended processor speed specification or configuration for use in connection with Java SE 5?

I understand the question to be, have I read of or am I aware of how fast a processor needs to be to adequately run Java SE; is that a --

- Q.
- Α. -- reasonable interpretation?
- And in particular, Version 5. So let's go back and -- you know, for a moment in time to when Java SE 5 was first released and, you know, think of what processor would have been necessary at that time to adequately run Java SE 5.
- A. I'm not aware of Sun or Oracle providing recommend recommendations for processor speed. I am cognizant of, in an academic setting for certain, folks that had older computers were often

Page 102

surprised at how fast programs ran. That's often the case.

And when you say "folks with older computers, " what -- what vintage are we talking about here?

A. It's always the case that if your computer is a generation behind or two generations behind, as we just talked about, because of Moore's Law, your computer is going to execute programs more slowly.

If programs -- if processor speed doubles every two years, then a computer that's two years old could be twice as slow as another program, and that would be noticeable.

Q. All right. Exhibit 5159 is a set of installation notes from the Sun Developer Network. This is from the Wayback Machine in 2005.

(Exhibit 5159 was marked for identification by the court reporter and is attached hereto.)

Q. (By Ms. Hurst) Dr. Astrachan, while you are looking at that, or just before, and I want to give you plenty of time, are you familiar with the Wavback Machine?

- Δ Tam
  - Q. And what is the Wayback Machine?

## Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 1614-7 Filed 04/07/16 Page 7 of 7

1

2

3

7

9

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

the specific APIs it questioned because of the new way in which it was used. A way that was very different than in the past that allowed different things to be done with the same API than had been done in the past.

And so I did not -- I did not apply a specific test, but rather tried to understand this use as very similar to, in which case it wouldn't be transformational, or very different from an "opening new horizons," as it were.

And those are words that I'm using now to try to convey the kinds of things that I thought about in indicating that I believe this is transformational.

- Ο. (By Ms. Hurst) All right. In looking at the 37 Java API packages in Android, did Google in any way change the hierarchy of what was copied from those packages and placed into Android?
- Google used --MR. KAMBER: Excuse me. Objection to form.

THE DEPONENT: -- the same structure, sequence, and organization. I don't believe that I have talked about a hierarchy in my reports. To the extent that hierarchy reflects that SSO, then

Page 163

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

tested"?

1

2

3

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4 2.5

- The high level view of that is Α. location.java, as part of the Android platform, would have been in widespread use, and if it didn't operate directly because of that widespread use, it would have been debugged and coders, programmers would have confidence that it would work in a situation because the implementation would, you know, obey the API specifications.
- Now, you've criticized Dr. Kemerer's use of page rank to evaluate the centrality of the API package, true?
  - A. Yes. I wrote about that in my report.
- Is the Sora paper the sole basis on which Ο. you are criticizing that?
- I also talk about -- and I don't know how to say the author's name, Rememer [sic] -- the paper that he used as the basis for creating part of what he does. That -- that paper talks about weighting different aspects of what's determined as an edge in the network that's -- whose page rank is being calculated, and that creating all edges with the same weight is not what was offered by those offers as the way of doing things.
  - Q. It was not always offered by them, but

Page 165

Google used the same SSO, and thus hierarchy.

- (By Ms. Hurst) All right. In -- what is Coursera?
- Coursera is a company that, among other things, partners with universities and also does -on its own creates MOOCs, massively open courses, for learners to learn new material.
- Q. So if I need to go figure out how to do some Java programming before this trial starts. that would be an example. I could just go on there and take a course?
- A. You could take the beginning course from me and the more advanced course from Dr. Schmidt. and then I'm sure you'd be well able to make your way through the software community.
- All right, then. In reviewing one of the pieces that -- that you have given us per your course on there, I notice that you said this: "We used a location.java class from the Android platform. This helped in knowing that the code was robust and well tested."

Does that ring a bell, first of all?

- 23 Yes, that rings a bell. Α.
  - Okay. Good.

What did you mean by "robust and well

Page 164

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

isn't	it	true	that	a	ctually	mal	king	that	as	ssumption
is mo	re :	favora	able t	0	Google	in	peri	ormin	ng	that
analysis?										

MR. KAMBER: Objection to form.

THE DEPONENT: I did not run a centrality argument -- analysis with different weights. So I can't tell you today whether running it with some weights versus essentially treating all weights with, you know, a factor of 1, would be beneficial

- (By Ms. Hurst) And the reason that you were concerned about that is that, you know, not all code is the same, right?
- A. It is certainly true that not all code is the same.
- All right. So just, for example, when you give programming assignments -- you sometimes give programming assignments to your students, right?
- I do. Α.
- Q. And do the ones who write the longest code get the best grades, the most lines of code? Is that winning algorithm?
- I would say that the length of a student's submission is not necessarily correlated